1 2	SCOTT J. SAGARIA (BAR # 217981) ELLIOT W. GALE (BAR #263326)		
3	JOE B. ANGELO (BAR #268542) SCOTT M. JOHNSON (BAR #287182)		
4	SAGARIA LAW, P.C. 2033 Gateway Place, 5 th Floor San Jose, CA 95110		
5	408-279-2288 ph 408-279-2299 fax		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN JOSE DIVISION		
11		CASE NO.	
12	LAUREN GRADY,		
13	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES:	
14	v.	 Violation of Fair Credit Reporting Act; Violation of California Consumer Credit 	
15 16	Experian Information Solutions, Inc.; Bank of America, National Association; Wells	Reporting Agencies Act	
17	Fargo Home Mortgage, Inc. and DOES 1 through 100 inclusive,		
18	Defendants.		
19	COMES NOW Plaintiff LAUREN GRADY, an individual, based on information and		
20	belief, to allege as follows:		
21	INTRODUCTION		
22	1. This case arises under the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b) and the		
23	California Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act, California Civil Code §1785.25(a).		
24	Plaintiff seeks redress for the unlawful and deceptive practices committed by the Defendants in		
25	connection with their inaccurate reporting of Plaintiff's debt included in Plaintiff's Chapter 7		
26	bankruptcy.		
27	JURISDICTION & VENUE		
28	2. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates	herein by this reference the allegations in each and	

every paragraph above, fully set forth herein.

- 3. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337, and 1367, and 15 U.S.C. § 1681
 - 4. This venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b).

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 5. Plaintiff filed for Chapter 7 bankruptcy protection on January 31, 2013 in order to reorganize and repair Plaintiff's credit. Plaintiff's Chapter 7 was discharged on April 30, 2013.
- 6. On May 24, 2015 Plaintiff ordered a three bureau report from Equifax, Inc. to ensure proper reporting by Plaintiff's creditors.
- 7. Plaintiff noticed several tradelines all reporting misleading and inaccurate account information.
- 8. Defendant Bank of America, National Association; Wells Fargo Home Mortgage, Inc. was reporting Plaintiff's account, beginning in 4888, as in collections and charged off, despite Plaintiff's chapter 7 discharge..
- 9. Defendant JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. was reporting Plaintiff's account, beginning in 5490, as in collections and charged off, despite Plaintiff's chapter 7 discharge..
- 10. In response to the perceived inaccuracies on Plaintiff's credit report, Plaintiff disputed the inaccurate tradelines via certified mail with Experian Information Solutions, Inc.; Equifax, Inc.; and TransUnion, LLC.
- 11. Plaintiff is informed and believes that each credit reporting agency sent each Defendant notification that plaintiff was disputing the accuracy of what it was reporting to them.
- 12. Defendants Bank of America, National Association and Wells Fargo Home Mortgage, Inc. failed to conduct a reasonable investigation and continued to report falsely to Experian Information Solutions, Inc. misleading and inaccurate account information as identified above in Paragraphs 8 9.
- 13. Alternatively, Experian Information Solutions, Inc. failed to perform its own reasonable investigation and failed to correct the inaccuracies and failed to note that Plaintiff

disputed the information as Plaintiff received a reinvestigation report from Experian Information Solutions, Inc. that failed to properly address the inaccuracies.

- 14. On March 19, 2016 Plaintiff ordered a second three bureau report from Equifax, Inc. to ensure the accounts that were in dispute were being property reported.
- 15. Plaintiff's accounts were disputed but the Defendants and Experian Information Solutions, Inc. failed to correct the misleading and inaccurate statements on the account within the statutory time frame or, alternatively, at all.
- 16. The credit bureaus have an obligation to delete the tradeline when a response is not received by the furnisher within the statutory time frame.
- 17. The actions of the Defendants as alleged herein are acts in violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b).
- 18. The actions of the Defendants as alleged herein are acts in violation of the consumer credit reporting agencies act California Civil Code § 1785.25(a).

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of Fair Credit Reporting Act 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)) (Against Defendants and Does 1-100)

Experian Information Solutions, Inc. - Failure to Reinvestigate Disputed Information.

- 19. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein the allegation in each and every paragraph above as though fully set forth herein.
- 20. After plaintiff disputed the accounts mentioned above, defendant Experian Information Solutions, Inc. was required to conduct a reasonable investigation and to delete any information that was not accurate. In doing so, defendants were required to send all relevant information to the furnishers which they did not do based on the reinvestigation report Plaintiff received.
- 21. In its reinvestigation report Experian Information Solutions, Inc. failed to update the misleading and inaccurate account information.
- 22. Defendant Experian Information Solutions, Inc. also failed to note the account as disputed

Bank of America, National Association and Wells Fargo Home Mortgage, Inc. – Reporting Inaccurate Information to Defendant Experian Information Solutions, Inc. and Failure to Reinvestigate.

- 23. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein the allegation in each and every paragraph above as though fully set forth herein.
- 24. 15 USC 1681s-2(b) prohibits furnishers from providing any information relating to a consumer to any consumer reporting agency if the person knows or has reasonable cause to believe that the information is inaccurate or misleading and requires a furnisher to update and or correct inaccurate information after being notified by a consumer reporting agency of a dispute by a consumer.
- 25. Defendants Bank of America, National Association and Wells Fargo Home Mortgage, Inc. violated section 1681s-2(b) by failing to conduct a reasonable investigation and rereporting misleading and inaccurate account information regarding Plaintiff's accounts. Defendant Experian Information Solutions, Inc. provided notice to the defendants that Plaintiff was disputing the inaccurate and misleading information but each of the Defendants failed to conduct a reasonable investigation of the information as required by the FCRA.
- 26. Specifically, Defendant Bank of America, National Association supplied inaccurate and misleading information to the Credit Reporting Agencies by reporting, after Plaintiff's chapter 7 filing and discharge, that the account was in collections and charged off, despite Plaintiff's chapter 7 discharge.
- 27. Defendant Wells Fargo Home Mortgage, Inc. supplied inaccurate and misleading information to the Credit Reporting Agencies by reporting, after Plaintiff's chapter 7 filing and discharge, that the account was in collections and charged off, despite Plaintiff's chapter 7 discharge..

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of California Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act California Civil Code § 1785.25(a)) (Against Defendants and Does 1-100)

Bank of America, National Association and Wells Fargo Home Mortgage, Inc. – Reporting Inaccurate Information to Defendants Experian Information Solutions, Inc.

28. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein the allegation in each and every paragraph above as though fully set forth herein.

- 29. Defendants Bank of America, National Association and Wells Fargo Home Mortgage, Inc. intentionally and knowingly reported misleading and inaccurate account information to Experian Information Solutions, Inc.
- 30. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants re-reported misleading and inaccurate account information, as stated in paragraphs 8-9 and 27-28 to Experian Information Solutions, Inc. in violation of California Civil Code § 1785.25(a).
- 31. Plaintiff also alleges that Defendants had reason to know that the information reported on Plaintiff's accounts were misleading and inaccurate.
- 32. Plaintiff alleges that the bankruptcy notices, disputes letters from all three credit reporting agencies, the consumer data industry resource guide, and results of its investigation should have provided notice to Defendants of its misleading and inaccurate reporting.
- 33. Defendants failed to notify Experian Information Solutions, Inc. that the information Defendant re-reported was inaccurate before the end of 30 business days, in violation of California Civil Code § 1785.25(a).
- 34. Defendants' communications of false information, and repeated failures to investigate, and correct their inaccurate information and erroneous reporting were done knowingly, intentionally, and in reckless disregard for their duties and Plaintiff's rights.
- 35. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' willful and untrue communications, Plaintiff has suffered actual damages including but not limited to inability to properly reorganize under Chapter 7, reviewing credit reports from all three consumer reporting agencies, time reviewing reports with counsel, sending demand letters, diminished credit score, and such further expenses in an amount to be determined at trial.
 - 36. Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment as hereinafter set forth.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

- a. For preliminary and permanent injunctive relief to stop Defendants from engaging in the conduct described above;
- b. Award statutory and actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n and California Civil Code § 1785.31;
- c. Award punitive damages in order to deter further unlawful conduct pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n; and California Civil Code § 1785.31

1	d. Award attorney's fees and costs of suit incurred herein pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §	
2	1681n & o; California Civil Code § 1785.31;	
3	e. For determination by the Court that Creditor's policies and practices are	
4	unlawful and in willful violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681n, et seq.; and	
5	f. For determination by the Court that Creditor's policies and practices are	
6	unlawful and in negligent violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.	
7		
8	SAGARIA LAW, P.C. Dated: April 21, 2016 By: /s/ Elliot Gale, Esq.	
9	Scott Sagaria, Esq.	
10	Elliot Gale, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff	
11		
12	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Plaintiff hereby demands trial of this matter by jury.	
13		
14	SAGARIA LAW, P.C.	
15	Dated: April 21, 2016 /s/ Elliot Gale, Esq. Scott Sagaria, Esq.	
16	Elliot Gale, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff	
17	Automeys for Figure 1	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		